

REPUBLIC



OF CYPRUS

**Official
Gazette,
Suppl. III(I)
11.11.2011**

**THE IMMUNITY FROM AND REDUCTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE FINES IN
CASES OF RESTRICTIVE COLLUSIONS INFRINGING SECTION 3 OF THE
LAW OR/AND Article 101 OF THE TFEU (LENIENCY PROGRAMME)
REGULATIONS OF 2011.**

(English translation)

Office of the Law Commissioner

Nicosia,

April, 2015

ΓΕΝ (Α) – ΠΙ 76

ISBN 978-9963-664-65-8

NICOSIA

PRINTED AT THE PRINTING OFFICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF CYPRUS

Price:

CONTENTS

	PAGE
Note for the Reader	3
Immunity from Reduction and Administrative Fines in cases of Restrictive Collusions Infringing section 3 of the Law or/and Article 101 of the TFEU (Leniency Programme) Regulations 2011	4

NOTE FOR THE READER

The publication at hand by the Office of the Law Commissioner is an English translation of the Immunity from and Reduction of Administrative Fines in cases of Restrictive Collusions Infringing section 3 of the Law or/and Article 101 of the TFEU (Leniency Programme) Regulations, 2011, [P.I. 463/2011 Official Gazette, Third Supplement, 11.11.2011].

However useful the English translation of the Regulations is in practice, it does not replace the original text of the Regulations since only the text published in the Official Gazette of the Republic of Cyprus is authentic.

The Office of the Law Commissioner shall not be under any liability to any person or organization in respect of any loss or damage, including consequential loss or damage, however caused, which may be incurred or arises directly or indirectly from reliance on information in this publication

Copyright Office of the Law Commissioner, Nicosia, 2015

Reproduction authorized without prior application. Reference to the source will be appreciated

THE PROTECTION OF COMPETITION LAW, 2008

Regulations made by virtue of Section 46

13(I)/2008 The Council of Ministers, in the exercise of the powers vested in it by section 46(2)(c) of the Protection of Competition Law, hereby makes the following Regulations.

PART I

PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS

Short title. 1. These Regulations may be cited as the Immunity from and Reduction of Administrative Fines in cases of Restrictive Collusions Infringing Section 3 of the Law or/and Article 101 of the TFEU (Leniency Programme) Regulations, of 2011.

Interpretation. 2.- (1) For the purposes of these Regulations, unless the context otherwise requires-

«Agreement on the European Economic Area» means the Agreement on the European Economic Area which was signed in Oporto on 2nd May 1992, adjusted by the Protocol which was signed in Brussels on the 17th of May, as such Agreement may be amended, from time to time;

«Commission» means the Commission for the Protection of Competition;

«European Commission» means the Commission of the European Union;

13(I)/2008 «Law» means the Protection of Competition Law of 2008, as amended from time to time;

«Member State» means a member state of the European Union or any other party to the Agreement of the European Economic Area;

«TFEU» means the Treaty for the Functioning of the European Union, as amended from time to time.

(2) Any other terms used in these Regulations, not otherwise defined, shall have the same meaning, assigned to them by the Law.

Scope.

3. These Regulations shall apply to restrictive collusions between two or more undertakings or associations of undertakings, having as their object or effect the coordination of their competitive behaviour on the market or/and influencing the parameters of competition through practices, especially such as, fixing purchase or selling prices or other trading conditions, the allocation of production or sales quotas, the sharing of markets including bid-rigging, the restriction of imports or exports or/and other anti-competitive actions against other competitors.

PART II

IMMUNITY FROM ADMINISTRATIVE FINES

Immunity from administrative fines.

4.- (1) The Commission shall grant immunity from administrative fine which would have otherwise been imposed to an undertaking disclosing its participation in an alleged restrictive collusion if:

(a) the undertaking is the first to submit evidence which is sufficient to initiate the inspection procedure under sections 31 or/and 32 of the Law, concerning an infringement of section 3 of the Law or/and Article

101 of the TFEU, or

(b) the undertaking is the first to submit evidence which allows the Commission to find an infringement of section 3 of the Law or/and Article 101 of the TFEU.

(2) Immunity from the imposition of an administrative fine, according to subparagraph (a) of paragraph (1), shall not be granted if, at the time of the submission, the Commission had at its disposal sufficient evidence in order to adopt a decision to carry out an inspection pursuant to sections 31 or/and 32 of the Law for alleged infringements of section 3 or/and Article 101 of the TFEU, or had already carried out such an inspection.

(3) Immunity pursuant to subparagraph (b) of paragraph (1) shall only be granted if, at the time of submission the Commission did not have sufficient evidence to find an infringement of section 3 of the Law or/and Article 101 of the TFEU regarding the alleged restrictive collusion and under the condition that no undertaking had been granted conditional immunity from administrative fines under subparagraph (a) of paragraph (1) in connection with the alleged restrictive collusion.

Conditions for qualification for immunity from administrative fines.

5. Subject to the provisions of Regulation 4, in order for an undertaking to qualify for immunity from an administrative fine, it must, in any case, meet the following conditions:

(a) to cooperate fully, actively and on a continuous basis with the Commission, from the date of submission up until the completion of the procedure and in particular -
(i) to provide the Commission, willingly and

promptly, with all relevant information and evidence it possesses or is available to it, or that came to its possession later on, in relation to the alleged collusion;

- (ii) to remain at the Commission's disposal to answer, willingly and promptly, to any request that may contribute to the establishment of the relevant facts;
- (iii) to make current (and, if possible, former) employees and directors available for interviews with the Commission or any member of the Service;
- (iv) not to destroy, falsify or conceal relevant information or evidence relating to the alleged restrictive collusion and
- (v) not to disclose the fact or any of the contents of its application before the Commission has issued a statement of objections in the case, unless otherwise agreed:

Provided that the applicant undertaking may disclose the submission of its application to the Commission, to other National Competition Authorities, which may be affected by the alleged infringement or/and to the European Commission.

- (b) The undertaking terminates its involvement in the alleged infringement at the time it submits the evidence mentioned in paragraphs (a) or (b) of Regulation 6, the latest, except for what would, in the Commission's view, be reasonably necessary to act otherwise to preserve the integrity of the inspection being carried out pursuant to sections 31 or/and 32 of the Law.

(c) The undertaking must not have incited other undertakings to participate in the infringement. In such a case, the undertaking may apply for a reduction from the imposition of administrative fines if it meets the relevant conditions and requirements of these Regulations.

Submission of the required evidence.

Annex I.

6. For the application of the provisions of subparagraphs (a) and (b) of paragraph (1) of Regulation 4, the undertaking which applies for immunity from an administrative fine must provide the Commission with the following information and evidence, in accordance with Annex I, to the extent that this, in the Commission's view, would not jeopardize the effectiveness of the investigation, as follows:

(a) A signed statement by the undertaking which shall include, in so far as it is known to the applicant undertaking at the time of the submission:

(i) A detailed description of the alleged restrictive collusion, and in particular, its aims, activities and functioning; the product or service concerned, the geographic scope, the duration of and the estimated market volumes affected by it, the specific dates, locations, content of and participants in alleged collusion, and all relevant explanations in connection with the evidence provided in support of the application;

(ii) The name and address of the legal entity submitting the application for immunity from the administrative fine, as well as the names and addresses of all the other undertakings participating (or which had participated) in the alleged restrictive collusion;

(iii) The name, position, office location and, where

necessary, home address of all individuals who, to the applicant's knowledge, are or have been involved in the alleged restrictive collusion, including those individuals who have been involved on the applicant's behalf;

(iv) Reference to the other National Competition Authorities, of the EU or any other authority, which have been approached or are intended to be approached to which the applicant has submitted a similar application or intends to do so in relation to the alleged restrictive collusion, and

(b) Any other evidence relating to the alleged restrictive collusion that the applicant has in its possession, or is available to it at the time of the submission, in particular any evidence which concerns the period of the infringement.

PART III

PROCEDURE FOR IMMUNITY FROM ADMINISTRATIVE FINES

Informal guidance.

7. Before submitting the application for immunity from administrative fines, the undertaking may informally and/or anonymously, contact the Commission, via its Chairman, in order to receive informal guidance in relation to the immunity application:

Provided that the Chairman shall inform the members of the Commission promptly.

Submission of the application.

8. An undertaking wishing to apply for immunity from administrative fine shall contact the Commission and may either initially apply for a marker until all information and evidence is collected, or submit a formal application to the Commission, providing all the information referred to in Regulation 6.

Granting a marker.

9.- (1) Subject to the provisions of Regulation 8, the Commission shall grant a marker, on the basis of which an immunity applicant shall secure its place in the priority, for a period to be specified by the Commission, on a case-by-case basis, in order to allow for the gathering of the necessary information and evidence. To be eligible to secure a marker, the applicant must provide the Commission with the information referred to in Annex II.

Annex II.

(2) Where a marker is granted, the Commission shall determine the period within which the applicant undertaking must perfect the marker, by submitting the information and evidence required to meet the relevant threshold for immunity. If the applicant perfects the marker within the period set by the Commission, the information and evidence provided shall be deemed to have been submitted on the date when the marker was granted:

Provided that the undertaking which has been granted a marker, cannot perfect it by making an application on a hypothetical basis, pursuant to paragraph (b) of Regulation 10.

Submitting an application for immunity from administrative fines.

10. An undertaking submitting an application for immunity from administrative fines, must:

- (a) When submitting the application, provide the Commission immediately with all information and evidence available, as specified in Regulation 6;
- (b) Initially present this information and evidence in hypothetical terms, in which case the undertaking must present a detailed descriptive list of the evidence it proposes to disclose at a later date which is agreed together with the Commission. This list must accurately reflect the nature and content of the evidence, whilst safeguarding the hypothetical nature of its disclosure. Copies of documents, from which sensitive parts have been removed, may be used to illustrate the nature and content of the evidence.

Acknowledgement of receipt.

11. When receiving the application for immunity from administrative fines, the Service of the Commission shall provide the applicant undertaking with an acknowledgement of receipt, confirming the date and time of the submission of application.

Evaluation of evidence.

12.- (1) When receiving the application according to paragraph (a) of Regulation 10, the Commission shall give instructions to the Service to examine, no later than seven working days, whether the conditions of Regulations 4 and 6 are met and shall submit a relevant recommendation to the Commission.

(2) If the undertaking submitted the information and evidence on a hypothetical basis according to paragraph (a) of Regulation 10, the Commission shall give instructions to

the Service, to examine, no later than seven working days the relevant list and shall submit a recommendation to the Commission that the nature of the evidence included in the list meets the conditions of Regulations 4 and 6.

Commission's decision for granting conditional immunity from an administrative fine.

13.- (1) If the undertaking submitting the information and evidence pursuant to paragraph (a) of Regulation 10, meets the conditions of subparagraph (a) of paragraph (1) or subparagraph (b) of paragraph (1) of Regulation 4, as well as the conditions of Regulation 6 for immunity, the Commission shall grant the undertaking conditional immunity from an administrative fine. The Commission shall communicate its decision of conditional immunity to the undertaking in writing, no later than the date the statement of objections is notified.

(2) If the undertaking submitting the evidence in hypothetical terms pursuant to paragraph (b) of Regulation 10, submits the evidence described in the list referred to in paragraph (b) Regulation 10, by the agreed date and it is verified that it meets the conditions set out in subparagraph (a) of paragraph (1) or subparagraph (b) of paragraph (1) of Regulation 4 and Regulation 6 for immunity, the Commission shall grant conditional immunity. The Commission shall communicate its decision to the undertaking no later than the date the Statement of Objections is notified.

(3) In case the conditions for immunity set out in subparagraph (a) or (b) of paragraph (1) of Regulation 4 and Regulation 6, are not met, the Commission shall not grant immunity from an administrative fine and shall inform promptly the applicant that its immunity application has not been accepted. In such a case, the undertaking may either

request the Commission to examine the information and evidence submitted, in order to be granted a reduction of the administrative fine, in accordance with the provisions of these Regulations, or to withdraw the evidence disclosed within the application for the immunity from the administrative fine:

Provided that this does not prevent the Commission from using its powers to investigate and collect evidence in accordance with sections 30, 31, 32 of the Law, in order to obtain the information.

4) The Commission shall take its final decision on granting immunity from an administrative fine issued in relation to the alleged infringement, as follows:

(a) in case that the Commission finds that the undertaking meets the necessary conditions set out in Regulation 5, it shall grant immunity from an administrative fine; or

(b) In case that the Commission finds that the undertaking does not meet the necessary conditions set out in Regulation 5, it shall not grant immunity from an administrative fine.

Suspension of other applications for immunity from a fine.

14. The Commission shall not consider other applications for immunity from an administrative fine before deciding on an existing application in relation to the same alleged infringement, and which precedes all other applications.

Summary application for immunity from an administrative fine.

15. (1) In case an undertaking has submitted a full immunity application before the European Commission or before a National Competition Authority of a Member State, that is *prima facie* competent to deal with the case, the Commission shall accept a summary application for immunity from imposition of an administrative fine.

Annex III.

(2) The Summary application shall be submitted in accordance with Annex III and shall contain all the data referred to in the said Annex.

(3) In case of submission of a summary application, the Commission shall grant the undertaking only a written acknowledgment of receipt of the application for immunity from an administrative fine which shall state that the undertaking has been the first to submit an application for immunity from administrative fine to the Commission regarding the specific alleged infringement.

Annex I.

(4) In case the Commission decides to initiate proceedings for investigation of alleged infringements of the Law, it shall define the period within which the undertaking must submit its application, in accordance with Annex I.

PART IV

REDUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE FINES

Conditions for reduction of the administrative fine.

16. (1) In order for an undertaking to meet the relevant conditions for the reduction of an administrative fine that would have otherwise been imposed, it must provide the Commission with evidence of the alleged infringement which represent significant added value with respect to the evidence already in the Commission's possession and must meet the cumulative conditions set out in Regulation 5.

(2) For the purposes of this Regulation, the concept of "significant added value" shall refer to the extent to which the evidence provided strengthens, by its very nature or/and its level of detail, the Commission's ability to prove the alleged restrictive collusion, written evidence originating from the period of time to which the facts pertain to have a greater value than evidence subsequently established; evidence directly relevant to the facts in question shall generally be considered to have a greater value than that with only indirect relevance; similarly, the degree of corroboration from other sources, required for the evidence submitted to be relied upon against other undertakings involved in the case, shall have an impact on the value of that evidence, so that compelling evidence shall be attributed a greater value than evidence, such as statements, which require corroboration if contested.

PART V
PROCEDURE FOR REDUCTION OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE FINE

Submission of application for reduction of the administrative fine.
Annex IV.

17.- (1) An undertaking wishing to benefit from a reduction of an administrative fine, must submit an application to the Commission, accompanied by sufficient evidence of the alleged restrictive collusion, pursuant to Annex IV, in order to be eligible for a reduction of a fine pursuant to paragraph (1) of Regulation 16.

(2) An undertaking that reveals its participation in an alleged restrictive collusion but does not meet the conditions of Parts II and III of these Regulations, may be eligible for reduction of administrative fines that would otherwise have been imposed, if it submits a relevant application according to Regulation 17.

(3) Any voluntary submission of evidence to the Commission by an undertaking, which it wishes to be considered for the beneficial treatment, must be clearly identified, at the time of submission, as being part of a formal application for a reduction of a fine.

(4) The undertaking shall terminate its participation in the alleged infringement, at the latest when it submits the evidence, unless, it is the Commission's view that it would be reasonably necessary to act otherwise, in order not to jeopardize the effectiveness of the investigation.

(5) The application for the reduction of administrative fines may be submitted at any time before the Commission issues a decision concerning the alleged infringement:

Provided that the Commission may disregard an application for reduction of a fine, on the grounds that it has been submitted after the statement of objections has been issued.

Acknowledgement
of receipt.

18.- (1) Upon receipt of the application for a reduction of the amount of administrative fine, the Service shall issue an acknowledgment of receipt, as for any submission of evidence, confirming the date and time the evidence was submitted.

(2) The date of receipt of an application for the reduction of the administrative fine of an undertaking, whose immunity application from the imposition of a fine was dismissed, and thereafter submitted according to Regulation 17, shall be considered to be the date submitted for immunity from administrative fines.

Suspension of other applications for the reduction of the administrative fine.

19. The Commission shall not decide in relation to the application for the reduction of a fine before it decides on any application that has already been submitted for immunity from an administrative fine in relation to the same alleged restrictive collusion.

Evaluation of evidence.

20. Upon receipt of the application, pursuant to Regulation 17, the Commission shall give instructions to the Service to examine, no later than seven days, whether the evidence submitted by the undertaking have significant added value within the meaning of Regulation 16, and that the undertaking meets the conditions of Regulations 5 and 16 and to submit its reasoned recommendation to the Commission.

Commission's decision for reduction of the administrative fine.

21.- (1) If the applicant undertaking meets the conditions for reduction of a fine set out in Regulations 16 and 17, the Commission shall inform the applicant undertaking of its intention to reduce the fine, in accordance with these Regulations. The Commission shall communicate its decision to the applicant undertaking in writing and within a reasonable time frame.

(2) If the applicant undertaking does not meet the conditions for reduction of a fine as set out in Regulations 16 and 17, the Commission shall not grant such a reduction and shall inform immediately the undertaking concerned that its application is not accepted. The Commission shall communicate its decision to the applicant undertaking in writing and within a reasonable time frame.

Decision for
reduction of the
administrative fine.

22.- (1) The Commission shall take its final decision on the application for granting a reduction of an administrative fine in its decision in relation to the alleged infringement in which it shall specify the following:

- (a) whether the evidence submitted by the applicant undertaking had significant added value in relation to the evidence that the Commission had in its possession at the time;
- (b) whether the conditions set out in Regulation 5 are met;
- (c) the level of reduction of the administrative fine that an undertaking may benefit from, particularly -
 - (i) for the first undertaking which complies with Regulation 16: a reduction of 30-50%,
 - (ii) for the second undertaking which complies with Regulation 16: a reduction of 20-30%,
 - (iii) For subsequent undertakings which comply with Regulation 16: a reduction of up to 20%.

(2) In order to determine the level of reduction of the administrative fine within the above bands the Commission shall take into account the time at which the evidence fulfilling the condition of Regulation 16 was submitted and the extent to which it represents added value. The Commission may also take into account the extent and consistency of cooperation of the undertaking after submitting the evidence.

(3) If an undertaking is the first to submit evidence, which has added value, pursuant to paragraph (2) of Regulation 16, which the Commission uses to establish additional facts increasing the gravity or the duration of the infringement, the Commission shall not take into account this additional

evidence when determining the administrative fine, to be imposed on the undertaking that submitted this evidence.

(4) If the Commission, when taking its final decision on the reduction of a fine, finds that an undertaking does not meet the conditions set out in Regulation 5, it shall not grant the undertaking any reduction.

PART VI FINAL PROVISIONS

Authorization. 23. Applications for immunity or reduction of administrative fines, submitted by legal entities, must be accompanied by the undertakings' official authorization for the submission of the application.

Joint applications. 24. Joint applications for immunity from an administrative fine or the reduction of an administrative fine by two or more undertakings or from an association of undertakings, shall not be acceptable.

False, inaccurate or misleading declarations. 25. False, inaccurate or misleading declarations by an applicant undertaking, as well as failure to comply with any term or obligation as set out in these Regulations or in the Commission's decision at any stage of the process, may result in the loss of the benefit of immunity from an administrative fine or any other favorable treatment provided for in the Regulations, by a Commission's decision.

Granting of immunity from or reduction of the administrative fine by the Commission and cooperation with 26. Irrespective of the number of undertakings submitting an application, the Commission shall not be bound to grant immunity from an administrative fine or reduction of it (to

the applicant undertaking.

one or more undertakings), unless all the conditions of these Regulations are met. The cooperation of the undertaking with the Commission shall be recorded in its decision, which shall be issued pursuant to sections 18(1) and 24 of the Law.

Confidentiality.

27.- (1) The Commission shall not use the data or/and information that was submitted by an undertaking in order to be granted immunity from or reduction of an administrative fine within the frame of paragraphs (a) or (b) of Regulation 10 and Regulation 16, which was rejected by the Commission, unless the undertaking gave its consent or, the said data and/or information came to the Commission's knowledge in a different way.

(2) The Commission shall protect the identity of the undertaking that applies for immunity from or reduction of an administrative fine, the content of the application and its cooperation with the Commission, until the compilation of the Statement of Objections, unless the Commission is bound by another legal obligation or it has the consent of the applicant undertaking.

(3) As regards the Commission's obligation to notify to the undertaking or association of undertakings against which the complaint or the ex-officio investigation is carried out, the entire file formed by the Commission, sections 17(9) and 33 of the Law and Regulations, which are issued pursuant to section 46(1) of the Law shall apply.

(4) Subject to the provisions of sections 17(9) and 33 of the Law, the Commission shall have discretion not to accept applications of third parties or legal entities for access to the application of the undertaking for immunity from or reduction

of administrative fines or/and to the data and/or information attached to it.

Civil liability.

28. The granting of immunity from an administrative fine or reduction of a fine, by way of a Commission's decision, shall not exclude the undertaking's civil liability arising from its participation in an infringement of section 3 of the Law and/or article 101 of the TFEU, pursuant to section 40 of the Law.

Obligation of the Commission for investigation.

29. In any case, the aforementioned submission of an application and the granting of an affiliation certificate for immunity or the reduction of an administrative fine to an undertaking shall not entail the obligation of the Commission to deal with the case in relation to the alleged infringement, unless it ascertains that the legal requirements for such an action concur.

Cooperation in the framework of the European Competition Network.

30. The Commission shall cooperate with the European Commission and the National Competition Authorities of other Member States on issues concerning Leniency Programmes within the framework of the European Competition Network. Even if these Regulations apply for a particular undertaking, this does not entail the granting of immunity from or reduction of the administrative fine by the European Commission or the National Competition Authorities in other Member States, on the basis of the data that the undertaking has given to the Commission. The undertaking may submit similar applications to the European Commission or to the National Competition Authorities of the Member States, in which the restriction of competition under examination has an impact.

Keeping register.

31. The Service shall be responsible for keeping the following Registers, which shall not be public and shall be protected as confidential:

- a) A register for applications granting a marker for submitting an immunity application;
- b) A register for applications for immunity from an administrative fine;
- c) A register for applications for the reduction of an administrative fine.

Entry into force of these Regulations.

32. These Regulations shall come into force on a date to be fixed by a Decision of the Council of Ministers published in the Official Gazette of the Republic.

ANNEX I

(Regulations 6 and 15)

APPLICATION FOR IMMUNITY FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE FINE

Information that must be included when submitting an application for immunity from an administrative fine, provided that at the time of the submission, this information is known to the undertaking submitting the application:

1. Name and address of the legal entity submitting the application for immunity from an administrative fine.
2. Detailed description of the alleged restrictive collusion, particularly:
 - 2.1 Its aims,
 - 2.2 Its Activities,
 - 2.3 Its Function,
 - 2.4 The product or service concerned,
 - 2.5 The geographical area covered,
 - 2.6 Its duration,
 - 2.7 The estimated market volumes affected,
 - 2.8 The specific dates, locations, content of and participants in the alleged restrictive collusion,
 - 2.9 All relevant explanation regarding the evidence submitted to support the application.
3. Names and addresses of all the other undertakings which participate (or had participated) in the alleged restrictive collusion.
4. Name, position, work address and where necessary home address of all individuals, who have participated in the alleged restrictive collusion, including those who participated on behalf of the applicant company.
5. Other Competition Authorities, within or outside the EU, which were approached or which the applicant intends to approach in relation to the alleged restrictive collusion.

6. Other evidence in relation to the alleged collusion which was in possession or at the disposal of the applicant undertaking when submitting the application, particularly any evidence concerning the same time frame of the alleged violation.

A solemn statement stating that all the information submitted within the application is true.

Signature of the authorized person.

Date

ANNEX II

(Regulation 9)

APPLICATION TO SECURE A MARKER

Information which the applicant undertaking must include when submitting an application to secure a marker,

1. The name and address of the applicant undertaking.
2. The name of the person submitting the application and contact details.
3. The parties participating in the alleged restrictive collusion
4. The affected products / services.
5. The geographic market.
6. The duration of the alleged restrictive collusion.
7. The nature of the conduct in question.
8. Previous or possibly future applications for lenient treatment to National Competition Authorities of other Member States and/or the European Commission, in relation to the alleged restrictive collusion.
9. A justification of the application for granting a marker.

A solemn statement by the applicant undertaking or an authorized person stating that all information given in the application is true.

Signature of the authorized person.

Date

- The application shall be accompanied by a formal authorization of the applicant undertaking for the submission of the application.

ANNEX III

(Regulation 15)

SUMMARY APPLICATION

The summary application for immunity from administrative fine shall include the following information in relation to the alleged infringement:

1. Relevant product / service
2. Covered area (relevant geographic market)
3. Time frame
4. The parties involved
5. Type and nature of the alleged infringement
6. Organization and structure of the cartel and/or concerted practice and /or agreement
7. The type of the existing evidence
8. Other Competition Authorities where the applicant undertaking has applied, particularly the Competition Authority where the full application was submitted

A solemn statement that all the information submitted with the application is true.

Signature of the authorized person.

Date.

ANNEX IV
(Regulation 17)

APPLICATION FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE FINE

Information that shall be included when submitting the application for reduction of an administrative fine, if at the time of the submission this information is known to the undertaking submitting the application:

1. Name and address of the legal entity submitting the application for reduction of an administrative fine.

2. Detailed description of the alleged collusion, particularly:
 - 2.1 Its aims
 - 2.2 Its Activities
 - 2.3 Its Function
 - 2.4 The product or service concerned
 - 2.5 The geographical scope
 - 2.6 Its duration
 - 2.7 The estimated market volumes affected
 - 2.8 The specific dates, locations, content of and participants in the alleged collusion.
 - 2.9 Any relevant explanation regarding the evidence submitted to support the application.

3. Names and addresses of all the other undertakings which participate (or had participated) in the alleged restrictive collusion.

4. Name, position, work address and where necessary home address of all individuals, who have participated, in the alleged restrictive collusion, including those who participated on behalf of the applicant company.

5. Other Competition Authorities, within or outside the EU, which were approached or were intended to be approached in relation to the alleged restrictive collusion.

6. Other evidence in relation to the alleged restrictive collusion which was in possession or at the disposal of the applicant undertaking when submitting the application, particularly any evidence concerning the same time frame with the alleged violation(s).

A solemn statement that all the information given at the application is true.

Signature of the authorized person.

Date